

CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Models & Graph Neural Networks

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

< 🗆 🕨

A B > A B >

DQC

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

Some administrative stuff:

- HW4 is out! (Due on April 11, 40~50% less)
- HW3 is due March 31
- Grades for A1&A2, Comments for Proposals are released!

白ト・ヨト・



Quiz: Which face image is fake?



А

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

В

C

DQC

<ロ> <問> <問> < 回> < 回>

Four modern approaches to generative modeling:

- Autoregressive models (Lectures 3, 7, and 8)
- Generative adversarial networks (last lecture)
- LLMs (e.g., GPTs) (last lecture)
- Variational autoencoders (this lecture)

All four approaches have different pros and cons.

Autoencoders

- An autoencoder is a feed-forward neural net whose job it is to take an input **x** and predict **x**.
- To make this non-trivial, we need to add a bottleneck layer whose dimension is much smaller than the input.



SQA

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

Autoencoders

Why autoencoders?

- Map high-dimensional data to two dimensions for visualization
- Compression (i.e. reducing the file size)
 - Note: this requires a VAE, not just an ordinary autoencoder.
- Learn abstract features in an unsupervised way so you can apply them to a supervised task
 - Unlabled data can be much more plentiful than labeled data
- Learn a semantically meaningful representation where you can, e.g., interpolate between different images.

・ロ と ・ 日 と ・ 日 と ・ 日 と ・ 日 と

~ ~ ~ ~

Deep Autoencoders

- Deep nonlinear autoencoders learn to project the data onto a low-dimensional nonlinear manifold.
- This manifold is the image of the decoder.
- This is a kind of nonlinear dimensionality reduction.



SQA

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

Deep Autoencoders

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

 Nonlinear autoencoders can learn more powerful codes for a given dimensionality, compared with linear autoencoders (PCA)



э

SOC

Deep Autoencoders

- Some limitations of autoencoders
 - They're not generative models, so they don't define a distribution
 - How to choose the latent dimension?



A (1) × A (2) × A (2) ×

< <p>I

3

SQA

Variational Auto-encoder (VAE)



Decoder learns the generative process given the sampled latent vectors.

Sampling process in the middle.

Encoder learns the distribution of latent space given the observations.



Source: https://iagtm.pressbooks.com/chapter/story-platos-allegory-of-the-cave/

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

・ロン ・雪 と ・ 南 と ・ 間

200

• Consider training a generator network with maximum likelihood.

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \int p(\mathbf{z}) p(\mathbf{x} \,|\, \mathbf{z}) \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}$$

- One problem: if z is low-dimensional and the decoder is deterministic, then p(x) = 0 almost everywhere!
 - The model only generates samples over a low-dimensional sub-manifold of $\ensuremath{\mathcal{X}}$.
- Solution: define a noisy observation model, e.g.

$$p(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{z}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}; G_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}), \eta \mathbf{I}),$$

where G_{θ} is the function computed by the decoder with parameters θ .



- At least $p(\mathbf{x}) = \int p(\mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z}$ is well-defined, but how can we compute it?
- Integration, according to XKCD:



SQA

- At least p(x) = ∫ p(z)p(x | z) dz is well-defined, but how can we compute it?
 - The decoder function $G_{\theta}(\mathbf{z})$ is very complicated, so there's no hope of finding a closed form.
- Instead, we will try to maximize a lower bound on $\log p(\mathbf{x})$.
 - The math is essentially the same as in the EM algorithm from CSC411.

シック・ 川 ・ ・ 川 ・ ・ 一 ・ ・ ・ ・ ・

Variational Inference

 We obtain the lower bound using Jensen's Inequality: for a convex function h of a random variable X,

 $\mathbb{E}[h(X)] \ge h(\mathbb{E}[X])$

Therefore, if h is concave (i.e. -h is convex),

 $\mathbb{E}[h(X)] \leq h(\mathbb{E}[X])$

• The function log *z* is concave. Therefore,

 $\mathbb{E}[\log X] \leq \log \mathbb{E}[X]$





SQ P

Variational Inference

- Suppose we have some distribution q(z). (We'll see later where this comes from.)
- We use Jensen's Inequality to obtain the lower bound.

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}) = \log \int p(\mathbf{z}) p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}$$

= $\log \int q(\mathbf{z}) \frac{p(\mathbf{z})}{q(\mathbf{z})} p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}$
 $\geq \int q(\mathbf{z}) \log \left[\frac{p(\mathbf{z})}{q(\mathbf{z})} p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) \right] \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}$ $\mathbb{E}[\log X] \le \log \mathbb{E}[X]$
 $= \mathbb{E}_q \left[\log \frac{p(\mathbf{z})}{q(\mathbf{z})} \right] + \mathbb{E}_q \left[\log p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) \right]$

We'll look at these two terms in turn.

(1)

SQ C

- The first term we'll look at is $\mathbb{E}_q \left[\log p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) \right]$
- Since we assumed a Gaussian observation model,

$$\begin{split} \log p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) &= \log \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}; G_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}), \eta \mathbf{I}) \\ &= \log \left[\frac{1}{(2\pi\eta)^{D/2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\eta} \|\mathbf{x} - G_{\theta}(\mathbf{z})\|^2 \right) \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\eta} \|\mathbf{x} - G_{\theta}(\mathbf{z})\|^2 + \text{const} \end{split}$$

So this term is the expected squared error in reconstructing x from z.
 We call it the reconstruction term.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Variational Inference

- The second term is $\mathbb{E}_q\left[\log \frac{p(z)}{q(z)}\right]$.
- This is just -D_{KL}(q(z) || p(z)), where D_{KL} is the Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence

$$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}(q(\mathsf{z}) \| p(\mathsf{z})) riangleq \mathbb{E}_q\left[\log rac{q(\mathsf{z})}{p(\mathsf{z})}
ight]$$

• KL divergence is a widely used measure of distance between probability distributions, though it doesn't satisfy the axioms to be a distance metric.

◆□ ▶ ◆母 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ● ● ●

- More details in tutorial.
- Typically, p(z) = N(0, I). Hence, the KL term encourages q to be close to N(0, I).

Variational Inference

• Hence, we're trying to maximize the variational lower bound, or variational free energy:

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}) \geq \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{ heta}, q) = \mathbb{E}_q \left[\log p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})\right] - \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}(q\|p).$$

- The term "variational" is a historical accident: "variational inference" used to be done using variational calculus, but this isn't how we train VAEs.
- We'd like to choose q to make the bound as tight as possible.
- It's possible to show that the gap is given by:

Note: A good exercise for students!

SQA

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}) - \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{ heta}, q) = \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}(q(\mathbf{z}) \| p(\mathbf{z} | \mathbf{x})).$$

Therefore, we'd like q to be as close as possible to the posterior distribution $p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})$.

- Let's think about the role of each of the two terms.
- The reconstruction term

$$\mathbb{E}_{q}[\log p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})] = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}\mathbb{E}_{q}[\|\mathbf{x} - G_{\theta}(\mathbf{z})\|^{2}] + \text{const}$$

is minimized when q is a point mass on

$$\mathbf{z}_* = \arg\min_{\mathbf{z}} \|\mathbf{x} - G_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{z})\|^2.$$

• But a point mass would have infinite KL divergence. (Exercise: check this.) So the KL term forces q to be more spread out.

so a a

Reparameterization Trick

- To fit q, let's assign it a parametric form, in particular a Gaussian distribution: q(z) = N(z; μ, Σ), where μ = (μ₁,..., μ_K) and Σ = diag(σ₁²,..., σ_K²).
- In general, it's hard to differentiate through an expectation. But for Gaussian *q*, we can apply the reparameterization trick:

$$\mathbf{z}_i = \mu_i + \sigma_i \epsilon_i,$$

where $\epsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

Hence,

$$\overline{\mu_i} = \overline{z_i} \qquad \overline{\sigma_i} = \overline{z_i} \epsilon_i.$$

シック・ 川 ・ ・ 川 ・ ・ 一 ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・

 This is exactly analogous to how we derived the backprop rules for dropout



- : Deterministic node
- : Random node

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

• = • • = •

э

DQC



Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

Amortization

- This suggests one strategy for learning the decoder. For each training example,
 - Fit q to approximate the posterior for the current \mathbf{x} by doing many steps of gradient ascent on \mathcal{F} .

・ロット (雪) (日) (日) (日)

SQ P

- 2 Update the decoder parameters θ with gradient ascent on \mathcal{F} .
- **Problem:** this requires an expensive iterative procedure for every training example, so it will take a long time to process the whole training set.

Amortization

- Idea: amortize the cost of inference by learning an inference network which predicts (μ, Σ) as a function of x.
- The outputs of the inference net are μ and log σ. (The log representation ensures σ > 0.)
- If $\sigma \approx 0$, then this network essentially computes z deterministically, by way of μ .
 - But the KL term encourages σ > 0, so in general z will be noisy.
- The notation q(z|x) emphasizes that q depends on x, even though it's not actually a conditional distribution.



ヘロン ヘ団 と ヘビ と ヘビン

SQ P

Amortization

- Combining this with the decoder network, we see the structure closely resembles an ordinary autoencoder. The inference net is like an encoder.
- Hence, this architecture is known as a variational autoencoder (VAE).
- The parameters of both the encoder and decoder networks are updated using a single pass of ordinary backprop.
 - The reconstruction term corresponds to squared error $\|\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}\|^2$, like in an ordinary VAE.
 - The KL term regularizes the representation by encouraging z to be more stochastic.



200

Variational Auto-encoder (VAE)



loss = $||x - \hat{x}||^2 + KL[N(\mu_x, \sigma_x), N(0, I)] = ||x - d(z)||^2 + KL[N(\mu_x, \sigma_x), N(0, I)]$

500

Source: https://towardsdatascience.com/understanding-variational-autoencoders-vaes-f70510919f73

VAE - Summary



VAEs vs. Other Generative Models

- In short, a VAE is like an autoencoder, except that it's also a generative model (defines a distribution p(x)).
- Unlike autoregressive models, generation only requires one forward pass.
- Unlike reversible models, we can fit a low-dimensional latent representation. We'll see we can do interesting things with this...



Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

SQA

• You can often get interesting results by interpolating between two vectors in the latent space:



Ha and Eck, "A neural representation of sketch drawings"

・ロット (四)・ (回)・ (日)・ (日)

~ ~ ~ ~

• You can often get interesting results by interpolating between two vectors in the latent space:



https://arxiv.org/pdf/1610.00291.pdf

э

SQA



Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

< 🗆 🕨

▲□ ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ● りへで

• Latent space interpolation of music: https://magenta.tensorflow.org/music-vae

・ロ と ・ 一部 と ・ ほ と ・ ほ と … ほ

Sac

After Break: Diffusion Models (optional)

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

・ロン ・聞と ・ 聞と ・ 聞い … 聞

900

Diffusion Probabilistic Models

Deep Unsupervised Learning using Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics

ICLR 2015

Jascha Sohl-Dickstein	JASCHA@STANFORD.EDU	Ν
Stanford University		r
Eric A. Weiss	EAWEISS@BERKELEY.EDU	1
University of California, Berkeley		τ
Niru Maheswaranathan	NIRUM@STANFORD.EDU	C
Stanford University		
Surya Ganguli	SGANGULI@STANFORD.EDU	
Stanford University		

Motivation: Estimating small perturbations is more tractable than explicitly describing the full distribution.

・ロット (雪) (日) (日)

-

SOR

The essential idea, inspired by non-equilibrium statistical physics, is to

- systematically and slowly destroy structure in a data distribution through an iterative forward diffusion process.
- learn a reverse diffusion process that restores structure in data, yielding a highly flexible and tractable generative model of the data.

https://arxiv.org/abs/1503.03585 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XCUInHP1TNM

Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Model (DDPM)

Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

Demonstrate that diffusion models are capable of generating high quality samples.

・ロッ ・雪 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ・ ・

Jonathan Ho	Ajay Jain	Pieter Abbeel
UC Berkeley	UC Berkeley	UC Berkeley
onathanho@berkeley.edu	ajayj@berkeley.edu	pabbeel@cs.berkeley.edu

We present high quality image synthesis results using diffusion probabilistic models, a class of latent variable models inspired by considerations from nonequilibrium thermodynamics. Our best results are obtained by training on a weighted variational bound designed according to a novel connection between diffusion probabilistic models and denoising score matching with Langevin dynamics, and our models naturally admit a progressive lossy decompression scheme that can be interpreted as a generalization of autoregressive decoding. On the unconditional CIFAR10 dataset,



Figure 2: The directed graphical model considered in this work.

https://github.com/hojonathanho/diffusion

Ho et al., Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models, NeurIPS 2020
Forward diffusion process: gradually adds noise to input image $q(x_t|x_{t-1})$



Reverse denoising process: learns to generate data by denoising $q(x_{t-1}|x_t) \approx p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$

Algorithm 1 Training	Algorithm 2 Sampling
1: repeat 2: $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{x}_0)$ 3: $t \sim \text{Uniform}(\{1, \dots, T\})$ 4: $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$ 5: Take gradient descent step on $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \ \boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t}\mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha}_t}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, t) \ ^2$ 6: until converged	1: $\mathbf{x}_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$ 2: for $t = T,, 1$ do 3: $\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$ if $t > 1$, else $\mathbf{z} = 0$ 4: $\mathbf{x}_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1-\alpha_t}{\sqrt{1-\tilde{\alpha}_t}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) \right) + \sigma_t \mathbf{z}$ 5: end for 6: return \mathbf{x}_0

How are these formulas derived?

Ho et al., Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models, NeurIPS 2020

(日) (雪) (日) (日)

SOC

DDPM: Forward Process $q(x_t|x_{t-1})$

Fixed, no trainable parameters



Q: How to obtain x_t at any arbitrary time step t? $\beta_0 = 10^{-4}, \beta_T = 0.02$ Def: $q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_t; \sqrt{1 - \beta_t} \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \beta_t \mathbf{I}) \quad q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T} | \mathbf{x}_0) = \prod_{t=1}^T q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1})$

Reparameterization trick A way to sample data x from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

• Sample *z* from *N*(0,1)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶

• $x = \mu + \sigma z$

A nice property of the above process is that we can sample \mathbf{x}_t at any arbitrary time step t in a closed form using reparameterization trick. Let $\alpha_t = 1 - \beta_t$ and $\bar{\alpha}_t = \prod_{i=1}^T \alpha_i$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_t &= \sqrt{\alpha_t} \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \mathbf{z}_{t-1} \\ &= \sqrt{\alpha_t} \alpha_{t-1} \mathbf{x}_{t-2} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \alpha_{t-1} \bar{\mathbf{z}}_{t-2} \\ &= \dots \\ &= \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{z} \\ q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_0) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_t; \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0, (1 - \bar{\alpha}_t) \mathbf{I}) \end{aligned}$$

;where $\mathbf{z}_{t-1}, \mathbf{z}_{t-2}, \dots \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$;where $\bar{\mathbf{z}}_{t-2}$ merges two Gaussians (*).

(*) Recall that when we merge two Gaussians with different variance, $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_1^2 \mathbf{I})$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_2^2 \mathbf{I})$, the new distribution is $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) \mathbf{I})$. Here the merged standard deviation is $\sqrt{(1 - \alpha_t) + \alpha_t(1 - \alpha_{t-1})} = \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t \alpha_{t-1}}$.

DDPM: Training Process



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Model (DDPM) (optional)

DDPM: An alternative way to derive the loss

Training is performed by optimizing the usual variational bound on negative log likelihood:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[-\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0})\right] \leq \mathbb{E}_{q}\left[-\log \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:T})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_{0})}\right] = \mathbb{E}_{q}\left[-\log p(\mathbf{x}_{T}) - \sum_{t>1}\log \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_{t})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{t}|\mathbf{x}_{t-1})}\right] \eqqcolon L (3)$$

Efficient training is therefore possible by optimizing random terms of L with stochastic gradient descent. Further improvements come from variance reduction by rewriting $L(\mathcal{B})$ as:

Furthermore, with the parameterization (11), Eq. (10) simplifies to:

02

 L_{t-1}

3

Sar

г

t=2

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\underbrace{D_{\mathrm{KL}}(q(\mathbf{x}_{T}|\mathbf{x}_{0}) \parallel p(\mathbf{x}_{T}))}_{L_{T}} + \sum_{t>1} \underbrace{D_{\mathrm{KL}}(q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_{t},\mathbf{x}_{0}) \parallel p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_{t}))}_{L_{t-1}} - \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0}|\mathbf{x}_{1})} \right] \\ (5) \qquad \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0},\epsilon} \left[\frac{\partial_{t}}{\partial \sigma_{t}^{2}} \underbrace{\partial_{t}^{2}}{\partial \tau_{t}(1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t})} \parallel \epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta}(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t}}\mathbf{x}_{0} + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t}}\epsilon, t)) \right]^{2}}_{L_{t-1}} \\ L_{CE} &= -\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{0})} \log \left(\int p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:T}) d\mathbf{x}_{1:T} \right) \\ &= -\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{0})} \log \left(\int q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_{0}) \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:T})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_{0})} d\mathbf{x}_{1:T} \right) \\ &= -\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{0})} \log \left(\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_{0})} \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:T})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_{0})} d\mathbf{x}_{1:T} \right) \\ &= -\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{0})} \log \left(\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_{0})} \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:T})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_{0})} d\mathbf{x}_{1:T} \right) \\ &= -\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{0})} \log \left(\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{0:T})} \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:T})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_{0})} d\mathbf{x}_{1:T} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[-\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{T}) + \sum_{t=2}^{T} \log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_{t},\mathbf{x}_{0})}{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0}|\mathbf{x}_{1})} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[-\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{T}) + \sum_{t=2}^{T} \log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_{t},\mathbf{x}_{0})}{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0}|\mathbf{x}_{1})} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_{0})}{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:T})} \right] = L_{\mathbf{VLB}} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{T}) + \sum_{t=2}^{T} \log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_{t},\mathbf{x}_{0})}{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_{0})} + \log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{1}|\mathbf{x}_{0})}{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0}|\mathbf{x}_{1})} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_{0})}{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0}|\mathbf{x}_{1})} + \sum_{t=2}^{T} \log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_{t},\mathbf{x}_{0})}{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_{0})} + \log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{1}|\mathbf{x}_{0})}{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0}|\mathbf{x}_{1})} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_{0})}{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{1}|\mathbf{x}_{0})} + \sum_{t=2}^{T} \log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{1}|\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{x}_{0})}{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{1}|\mathbf{x}_{0})} + \log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{1}|\mathbf{x}_{0})}{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0}|\mathbf{x}_{0})} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_{0})}{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{1}|\mathbf{x}_{0})} + \sum_{t=2}^{T} \log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{1}|\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{x}_{0}}}{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{1}|\mathbf{x}_{0})} + \log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{1}|\mathbf{x}_{0})}{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0}|\mathbf{x}_{0})} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_{0})}{p_{\theta}$$

CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

 L_T

Г

DDPM: Reverse Process



$$x_t = \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t} x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha}_t} z_t$$

Reverse process: $(x_t, \tilde{z}) \rightarrow x_{t-1}$

$$x_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t}} (x_t - \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha}_t} z_t) \quad \text{One step can recover } x_0!$$

Why don't we use it?

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

・ロット (四)・ (日)・ (日)・ (日)

900

DDPM: $x_t \rightarrow x_0$



Figure 7: When conditioned on the same latent, CelebA-HQ 256×256 samples share high-level attributes. Bottom-right quadrants are \mathbf{x}_t , and other quadrants are samples from $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_0 | \mathbf{x}_t)$.

appear first and details appear last. Figure 7 shows stochastic predictions $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_0 | \mathbf{x}_t)$ with \mathbf{x}_t frozen for various t. When t is small, all but fine details are preserved, and when t is large, only large scale features are preserved. Perhaps these are hints of conceptual compression [18].

$$\begin{split} x_t &= \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t} x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha}_t} z_t \\ \text{Reverse process: } (x_t, \tilde{z}) \to x_{t-1} \\ x_0 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t}} (x_t - \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha}_t} z_t) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{One step can recover } x_0! \\ \text{Why don't we use it?} \end{array}$$

(I) < (I)

э

SQA

DDPM: Reverse Process $q(x_{t-1}|x_t)$

Reverse denoising process: learns to generate data by denoising $q(x_{t-1}|x_t) \approx p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$



Q2: How to estimate the true reverse process $q(x_{t-1}|x_t)$?

Remark 1. If the variance is small enough during forward process, $q(x_{t-1}|x_t)$ will be Gaussian as well.

Remark 2. The reverse conditional probability $q(x_{t-1}|x_t)$ is intractable, but $q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)$ would be tractable.

Reminder: Gaussian pdf:
$$N(x; \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Model (DDPM) (optional)

DDPM: Reverse Process $q(x_{t-1}|x_t)$

Q3: How to obtain the mean and variance of $q(x_{t-1}|x_t)$?

It is noteworthy that the reverse conditional probability is tractable when conditioned on \mathbf{x}_0 :

$$q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t,\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}; ilde{oldsymbol{\mu}}(\mathbf{x}_t,\mathbf{x}_0), ilde{oldsymbol{eta}}_t \mathbf{I})$$

Using Bayes' rule, we have:

$$\begin{split} q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_{t},\mathbf{x}_{0}) &= q(\mathbf{x}_{t}|\mathbf{x}_{t-1},\mathbf{x}_{0})\frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_{0})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{t}|\mathbf{x}_{0})} \\ &\propto \exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{(\mathbf{x}_{t}-\sqrt{\alpha_{t}}\mathbf{x}_{t-1})^{2}}{\beta_{t}} + \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}-\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}\mathbf{x}_{0})^{2}}{1-\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}} - \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{t}-\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t}}\mathbf{x}_{0})^{2}}{1-\bar{\alpha}_{t}}\Big)\Big) \\ &= \exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{\mathbf{x}_{t}^{2}-2\sqrt{\alpha_{t}}\mathbf{x}_{t}\mathbf{x}_{t-1}+\alpha_{t}\mathbf{x}_{t-1}^{2}}{\beta_{t}} + \frac{\mathbf{x}_{t-1}^{2}-2\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}\mathbf{x}_{0}\mathbf{x}_{t-1}+\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}\mathbf{x}_{0}^{2}}{1-\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}} - \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{t}-\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t}}\mathbf{x}_{0})^{2}}{1-\bar{\alpha}_{t}}\Big)\Big) \\ &= \exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\Big(\Big(\frac{\alpha_{t}}{\beta_{t}} + \frac{1}{1-\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}\Big)\mathbf{x}_{t-1}^{2} - \Big(\frac{2\sqrt{\alpha_{t}}}{\beta_{t}}\mathbf{x}_{t} + \frac{2\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}}{1-\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}\mathbf{x}_{0})\mathbf{x}_{t-1} + C(\mathbf{x}_{t},\mathbf{x}_{0})\Big)\Big) \end{split}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Model (DDPM) (optional)

DDPM: Reverse Process $q(x_{t-1}|x_t)$

Q3: How to obtain the mean and variance of $q(x_t|x_{t-1})$?

$$q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0) = \exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\big((\frac{\alpha_t}{\beta_t} + \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}})\mathbf{x}_{t-1}^2 - (\frac{2\sqrt{\alpha_t}}{\beta_t}\mathbf{x}_t + \frac{2\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}\mathbf{x}_0)\mathbf{x}_{t-1} + C(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0)\big)\Big)$$

Following the standard Gaussian density function, the mean and variance can be parameterized as follows

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\beta}_{t} &= 1/(\frac{\alpha_{t}}{\beta_{t}} + \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}) = 1/(\frac{\alpha_{t} - \bar{\alpha}_{t} + \beta_{t}}{\beta_{t}(1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1})}) = \frac{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t}} \cdot \beta_{t} \qquad \text{Variance: } \tilde{\beta}_{t} = \frac{1}{a} \\ \tilde{\mu}_{t}(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{x}_{0}) &= (\frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{t}}}{\beta_{t}} \mathbf{x}_{t} + \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}} \mathbf{x}_{0})/(\frac{\alpha_{t}}{\beta_{t}} + \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}) \\ &= (\frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{t}}}{\beta_{t}} \mathbf{x}_{t} + \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}} \mathbf{x}_{0}) \frac{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t}} \cdot \beta_{t} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{t}}(1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1})}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t}} \mathbf{x}_{t} + \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}\beta_{t}}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t}} \mathbf{x}_{0} \end{split} \qquad \text{Using the fact that } \frac{ax^{2} + bx + C}{a(x + \frac{b}{2a})^{2}}, \text{ rearrange the second line with regards to } \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{t}}(1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1})}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t}} \mathbf{x}_{t} + \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}\beta_{t}}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t}} \mathbf{x}_{0} \end{aligned}$$

Absorb x_0 by substituting it with

Solve
$$x_0$$
 by substituting it with

$$x_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_t}} (x_t - \sqrt{1 - a_t} z_t)$$
 $\tilde{\mu}_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_t}} \left(x_t - \frac{\beta_t}{\sqrt{1 - a_t}} z_t \right)$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

DDPM: Reverse Process $q(x_{t-1}|x_t)$

$$q(x_{t-1}|x_t) \sim N\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_t}}\left(x_t - \frac{\beta_t}{\sqrt{1 - \overline{a_t}}}z_t\right), \frac{1 - \overline{a_{t-1}}}{1 - \overline{a_t}}\beta_t I\right)$$

$$x_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(x_t - \frac{\beta_t}{\sqrt{1 - \alpha_t}} z_t \right) + \sigma z$$

 $z \sim N(0, I), z_t \approx \tilde{z} = UNet(x_t, t)$



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

DDPM: Summary

Forward $q(x_t|x_{t-1}) = N(x_t; \sqrt{1 - \beta_t} x_{t-1}, \beta_t I)$ $q(x_t|x_0) = N(x_t; \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t} x_0, (1 - \overline{\alpha}_t)I)$ $x_t = \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t} x_0 + (1 - \overline{\alpha}_t) z, z \sim N(0, 1)$ $\tilde{z} = UNet(x_t, t)$ $loss(z, \tilde{z})$

Reverse
$$x_{t-1} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

 $q(x_{t-1}|x_t) \rightarrow q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0) \rightarrow \frac{q(x_t|x_{t-1})q(x_{t-1}|x_0)}{q(x_t|x_0)} \rightarrow \text{derive}$
mean and variance
 $q(x_{t-1}|x_t) = N\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}}\left(x_t - \frac{\beta_t}{\sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha_t}}}z_t\right), \frac{1 - \overline{\alpha_{t-1}}}{1 - \overline{\alpha_t}}\beta_t I\right)$
 $x_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}}\left(x_t - \frac{\beta_t}{\sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha_t}}}z_t\right) + \sigma z$

Algorithm 1 Training

1: repeat 2: $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{x}_0)$ 3: $t \sim \text{Uniform}(\{1, \dots, T\})$ 4: $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$

5: Take gradient descent step on

$$\nabla_{\theta} \left\| \boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta} (\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, t) \right\|^2$$

6: **until** converged

Algorithm 2 Sampling

1: $\mathbf{x}_T \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ 2: for t = T, ..., 1 do 3: $\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ if t > 1, else $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$ 4: $\mathbf{x}_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1-\alpha_t}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\alpha_t}}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) \right) + \sigma_t \mathbf{z}$ 5: end for 6: return \mathbf{x}_0

DDPM: Sample Quality



Figure 3: LSUN Church samples. FID=7.89

Figure 4: LSUN Bedroom samples. FID=4.90

ヘロマ ヘ動マ ヘヨマ

SQA

We find that training our models on the true variational bound yields better codelengths than training on the simplified objective, as expected, but the latter yields the best sample quality.

DDPM: Progressive generation



Figure 6: Unconditional CIFAR10 progressive generation ($\hat{\mathbf{x}}_0$ over time, from left to right). Extended samples and sample quality metrics over time in the appendix (Figs. 10 and 14).

Large scale image features appear first and details appear last.

э

SOC

Demo Time: Stable Diffusion

https://stablediffusionweb.com/

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Trade-offs of Generative Approaches

• So far, we have seen four different approaches:

- Autoregressive models (Lectures 3, 7, and 8)
- Generative adversarial networks (last lecture)
- Variational Auto-encoder (this lecture)
- Diffusion models (this lecture)
- They all have their own pro and con. We often pick a method based on our application needs.
- Some considerations for computer vision applications:
 - Do we need to evaluate log likelihood of new data?
 - Do we prefer good samples over evaluation metric?
 - How imporant is representation learning, i.e. meaningful code vectors?

< 口 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

3

• How much computational resource can we spent?

After the break

After the break: Graph Neural Networks (GNN)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

- Tabular data : Linear Models, MLP
- Sequence data (e.g., Language, speech): CNN, RNN, Transformer

本語 と 本語 と 本語 と 二部

A CA

- Imaging data : CNN, Vision Transformer
- What about graph data?

What is a graph?



A graph is composed of

• Nodes (also called vertices)

• Edges connecting a pair of nodes presented in an adjacency matrix



・ロット (雪) (小田) (田)

200

Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

What is a graph?



A graph is composed of

- Nodes (also called vertices)
- Edges connecting a pair of nodes presented in an adjacency matrix

Nodes can have feature vectors



3

200

Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

Graph is everywhere!





Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang







ъ

ъ

900

Graph Neural Networks (GNN) is everywhere



CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

SQA

What is GNN? - Problem Setup



• Given

- A graph
- Node attributes
- (part of nodes are labeled)
- Find
 - Node embeddings
- Predict
 - · Labels for the remaining nodes

くぼ マイ ピット く ピッ

3

200

undirected unweighted graph

What is GNN? - Problem Setup



"Homophily: connected nodes are related/informative/similar"

Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

(二日) (二日) (二日)

3

SQA

What is GNN? - Problem Setup



"Homophily: connected nodes are related/informative/similar"

Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

< 17 >

A B > A B >

3

SQA

What is GNN? – Problem Setup



"Homophily: connected nodes are related/informative/similar"

Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

A (1) > A (2) > A (2) >

3

DQ P

What is GNN? – Problem Setup



Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

イロッ イヨッ イヨッ

ī.

DQC

What is GNN? – Problem Setup



Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト - ヨ

990



Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

< (T) >

-

æ

DQC



Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

< = >

< 17 >

æ

DQC

1. Aggregate messages from neighbors

 $h_v^{(l)}$: node embedding of v at l-th layer $\mathcal{N}(v)$: neighboring nodes of v $f^{(l)}$: aggregation function at l-th layer $m_v^{(l)}$: message vector of v at l-th layer

$$m_A^{(l)} = f^{(l)} \left(h_A^{(l)}, \left\{ h_u^{(l)} : u \in \mathcal{N}(A) \right\} \right)$$

= $f^{(l)} \left(h_A^{(l)}, h_B^{(l)} h_C^{(l)} h_D^{(l)} \right)$



Neighbors of node A $\mathcal{N}(A) = \{B, C, D\}$

(日) (雪) (雪) (日)

3

200

1. Aggregate messages from neighbors $m_A^{(l)} = f^{(l)} \left(h_A^{(l)}, \left\{ h_u^{(l)} : u \in \mathcal{N}(A) \right\} \right)$ $= f^{(l)} \left(h_A^{(l)}, h_B^{(l)} h_C^{(l)} h_D^{(l)} \right)$

2. Transform messages

 $m{g}^{(l)}$: transformation function at l-th layer $h_A^{(l+1)} = m{g}^{(l)}(m_A^{(l)})$



Neighbors of node A $\mathcal{N}(A) = \{B, C, D\}$

ヘロ と 人間 と 人 間 と 人 間 と

DQC

In each layer l, for each target node v:

1. Aggregate messages $m_{v}^{(l)} = f^{(l)}\left(h_{v}^{(l)}, \left\{h_{u}^{(l)}: u \in \mathcal{N}(v)\right\}\right)$

2. Transform messages $h_v^{(l+1)} = g^{(l)}(m_v^{(l)})$



Graph Convolutional Networks^[1]

1. Aggregate messages $m_{v}^{(l)} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}(v) + 1|} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{N}(v) \cup \{v\}} h_{u}^{(l)}$ 2. Transform messages $h_{v}^{(l+1)} = \sigma(W^{(l)} \circ m_{v}^{(l)})$

[1] Kipf, Thomas N., et al. "Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks."



Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang



1. Aggregate messages

$$\begin{split} m_{v}^{(l)} &= \sum_{u \in \mathcal{N}(v) \cup \{v\}} h_{u}^{(l)} \\ \textbf{2. Transform messages} \\ h_{v}^{(l+1)} &= \sigma(\textbf{W}^{(l)} \circ m_{v}^{(l)}) \end{split}$$



ヘロマ ヘロマ ヘビマ ヘビマ

3

SQ P

[2] Xu, Keyulu, et al. "How powerful are graph neural networks?."

Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang



[3] Wu, Felix, et al. "Simplifying graph convolutional networks."

Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

3

200



Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

A (1) > A (1) > A (1) > A

A C C
- GCN^[1]
 - Average embeddings of neighboring nodes

$$\begin{split} m_{v}^{(l)} &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}(v) + 1|} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{N}(v) \cup \{v\}} h_{u}^{(l)} \\ & h_{v}^{(l+1)} = \sigma(\mathbf{W}^{(l)} \circ m_{v}^{(l)}) \\ h_{v}^{(l)} &= \sigma(\mathbf{W}^{(l)} \circ (\frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}(v) + 1|} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{N}(v) \cup \{v\}} h_{u}^{(l-1)})) \end{split}$$

[1] Kipf, Thomas N., et al. "Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks."

• GCN^[1]

Can we use batch-mode?

$$h_v^{(l)} = \sigma(\boldsymbol{W}^{(l)} \circ (\frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}(v)+1|} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{N}(v) \cup \{v\}} h_u^{(l-1)}))$$



[1] Kipf, Thomas N., et al. "Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks."

• GCN^[1]

$$h_{v}^{(l)} = \sigma(\boldsymbol{W}^{(l)} \circ (\frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}(v)+1|} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{N}(v) \cup \{v\}} h_{u}^{(l-1)}))$$



[1] Kipf, Thomas N., et al. "Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks."

• GCN^[1]



[1] Kipf, Thomas N., et al. "Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks."

• GCN^[1]



[1] Kipf, Thomas N., et al. "Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks."



$$\widetilde{A+I} = (D+I)^{-1} * (A+I) \longrightarrow \widetilde{A} = D^{-1} * A$$



A graph is composed of

- Nodes (also called vertices)
- Edges connecting a pair of nodes presented in an adjacency matrix



Graph Convolutional Network (GCN) -- Summary



Image Credit: Defferrard et al. NIPS 2016

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

Graph Convolutional Network (GCN) -- Summary



 $\operatorname{ReLU}(\hat{A}XW^{(0)})$

Image Credit: Defferrard et al. NIPS 2016

900

・ロット (四)マネ ほど (日) 田

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

Graph Convolutional Network (GCN) -- Summary



$\hat{A} \operatorname{ReLU}\left(\hat{A}XW^{(0)}\right)W^{(1)}$

Image Credit: Defferrard et al. NIPS 2016

3

SQR

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

Graph Attention Network (optional)

- GAT^[14]
 - · Different weights to different nodes in a neighborhood
 - Multi-head attention

$$\alpha_{ij} = \frac{\exp\left(\text{LeakyReLU}\left(\vec{\mathbf{a}}^T[\mathbf{W}\vec{h}_i \| \mathbf{W}\vec{h}_j]\right)\right)}{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}_i} \exp\left(\text{LeakyReLU}\left(\vec{\mathbf{a}}^T[\mathbf{W}\vec{h}_i \| \mathbf{W}\vec{h}_k]\right)\right)}$$



ヘロマ ヘロマ ヘロマ ・ 日

1

SQA

[14] Petar Veličković., et al. "GRAPH ATTENTION NETWORKS."

- Semi-supervised learning
 - Input node features are given for all nodes in a graph

D > 4 (B) > 4 (B) > 4 (B) > (B)

SOG

Only a subset of nodes have labels

$$\min_{\Theta} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{y}, f(\mathbf{z}_v))$$

y: node label

 \mathcal{L} could be L2 if \mathbf{y} is real number, or cross entropy

if y is categorical

Node embedding z_v is a function of input graph

How to Train GNN?

Unsupervised setting:

- No node label available
- Use the graph structure as the supervision!
- "Similar" nodes have similar embeddings

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{z_u, z_v} \operatorname{CE}(y_{u,v}, \operatorname{DEC}(z_u, z_v))$$

- Where $y_{u,v} = 1$ when node u and v are similar
- CE is the cross entropy
- DEC is the decoder such as inner product

Still an active research topic!



Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

< ≣⇒

< ∃ >

4 🗆 🕨

< 17 ×

ŀ

Question 2: Depth? Target Node R How many hops should we explore? A 49

Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

A B > A B >

ŀ

Question 1: Width?

- If we aggregate all neighbors, GNNs have scalability issues
- Neighbor explosion
 - In *L* -layer GNNs, one node aggregates information from $O(K^L)$ nodes where *K* is the average number of neighbors per node

Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

4 3 5 4 3 5 5

Question 1: Width?

- If we aggregate all neighbors, GNNs have scalability issues
- Neighbor explosion
 - Hub nodes who are connected to a huge number of nodes



SQ P

Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Cristiano Ronaldo

150 million followers

Question 1: Width?

• Limit the neighborhood expansion by **sampling** a fixed number of neighbors



A B > A B >

SQ P

Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

Question 1: Width?

- Random sampling
 - Assign **same** sampling probabilities to all neighbors
 - GraphSage^[4]
- Importance sampling
 - Assign different sampling probabilities to all neighbors
 - FastGCN^[5], LADIES^[6], AS-GCN^[7], GCN-BS^[8], PASS^[9]

[4] Will Hamilton, et al. "Inductive representation learning on large graphs"

[5] Jie Chen, et al. "Fastgcn: fast learning with graph convolutional networks via importance sampling"

[6] Difan Zou, et al. "Layer-Dependent Importance Sampling for Training Deep and Large Graph Convolutional Networks"

[7] Wenbing Huang, et al. "Adaptive sampling towards fast graph representation learning"

[8] Ziqi Liu, et al. "Bandit Samplers for Training Graph Neural Networks"

[9] Minji Yoon, et al. "Performance-Adaptive Sampling Strategy Towards Fast and Accurate Graph Neural Networks"

Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

ヘロマ ふぼ マ ふぼ マ く 日 マ

Question 2: Depth?

Informative neighbors could be indirectly connected with a target node



Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

Question 2: Depth?

• 2-layer or 3-layer GNNs are commonly used in real worlds



Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

Question 2: Depth?

- When we increase the depth L more than this, GNNs face neighbor explosion $O(K^L)$
 - Over-smoothing



SOC

Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Question 2: Depth?

Over-smoothing^[10]

- When GNNs become deep, nodes share many neighbors
- Node embeddings become *indistinguishable*

able

4 D b 4 A b 4 B b 4 B b

200

[10] Qimai Li, et al. "Deeper Insights into Graph Convolutional Networks for Semi-Supervised Learning"

Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

Question 2: Depth?

Over-smoothing^[10]

• Node embeddings of Zachary's karate club network with GNNs



[10] Qimai Li, et al. "Deeper Insights into Graph Convolutional Networks for Semi-Supervised Learning"

Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

GNN Applications



Food Discovery with Uber Eats: Using Graph Learning to Power Recommendations





PinSage: A new graph convolutional neural network for web-scale recommender systems

Ruining He | Pinterest engineer, Pinterest Labs

Web image search gets better with graph neural networks

in to image search uses images returned by traditional search eles in a graph neural network through which similarity signals are nieving improved ranking in cross-modal retrieval.

6

500

ŀ

ural Network

TER LABS Europe NAVER S FOLD

ヘロマ 人間マ ヘヨマ

P-Companion: A principled framework for diversified complementary product recommendation

By Junheng Hao, Tong Zhao, Jin Li, Xin Luna Dong, Christos Faloutsos, Yizhou Sun, Wei Wang 2020

Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

GNN applications

- Graph-level prediction: whether the molecule is a potent drug^[29]
 - Execute on a large dataset of known candidate molecules
 - Select the ~ top-100 candidates from the GNN model
 - · Have chemists thoroughly investigate those



[29] Jonathan M.Stokes, et al. "A Deep Learning Approach to Antibiotic Discovery"

Source: Minji Yoon, CMU

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

ACA

 Discover a previously overlooked compound that is a highly potent antibiotic^[29]



[29] Jonathan M.Stokes, et al. "A Deep Learning Approach to Antibiotic Discovery"

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

CSC413/2516 Lecture 10: Generative Model

・ロット (四マ・川田) 一田

GNN applications



ヘロマ ヘビマ ヘビマ ヘロマ